arXiv:1602.02331v1 [quant-ph] 7 Feb 2016

Entanglement concentration for concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeiglinger state with feasible linear optics

Yu-Bo Sheng,[1*] Chang-Cheng Qu,[1] Lan Zhou[1,2]

[1] *Key Lab of Broadband Wireless Communication and Sensor Network Technology, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Ministry of Education, Nanjing, 210003, China*

[2] *College of Mathematics & Physics, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing, 210003, China*

(Dated: February 9, 2016)

Abstract

The concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeiglinger (C-GHZ) state which is a new type of logic-qubit entanglement has attracted a lot of attentions recently. We present a feasible entanglement concentration protocol (ECP) for logic-qubit entanglement. This ECP is based on the linear optics, and it does not know the initial coefficients of the less-entangled C-GHZ state. This protocol can be extended to arbitrary C-GHZ state. This protocol may be useful in future quantum information processing tasks.

Keywords: Entanglement concentration, C-GHZ state, linear optics

PACS numbers: 03.67.Pp, 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud

* Corresponding author: Email address: shengyb@njupt.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement plays a very important role in the field of current quantum information processing[1, 2], such as quantum key distribution[3, 4], quantum teleportation[5], quantum secure direct communication[6, 7] and some other important quantum communication protocols[8–10], which are all require the maximal entanglement to set up a secure quantum channel. However, entanglement is generally fragile and the noise in environment always exists. Owing to the interaction with the environment, channel noise cannot be avoided during transmission and storage, which will make the maximally entangled states degrade to mixed states or pure less-entangled states. In practical application, such mixed states or less-entangled states may decrease and one cannot ultimately set up the high quality quantum entanglement channel successfully [11]. Therefore, we need to recover the mixed state or the pure less-entangled state into the maximally entangled state before starting quantum communication.

The method of converting the mixed entangled state into the maximally entangled states is called entanglement purification protocol (EPP), which has been widely discussed in recent years[12–20]. Entanglement concentration protocol (ECP), which will be discussed in detail here, is a powerful approach to extract the maximally entangled state from the pure partially entangled state with local operation and classical communication (LOCC)[21–65]. For example, Bennett *et al.* put forward the first ECP which is called the Schmidt projection protocol in 1996 [21]. Bose *et al.* proposed the ECP based on entanglement swapping[22]. In 2001, Zhao *et al.* and Yamamoto *et al.* independently put forward two similar ECPs with linear optics [23, 24]. In 2008, Sheng *et al.* proposed ECP with higher success efficiency which is based on cross-Kerr nonlinearity[25]. In 2012, by making use of local operation and classical communications, Sheng *et al.* and Deng independently proposed two ECPs assisted with single photons which made concentration process more simple and useful in practical applications [27, 28]. Subsequently, their protocols were widely used to deal with the different kinds of entanglement concentration, such as the multi-photon W state entanglement concentration [29–31], cluster state entanglement concentration [32], χ-type entanglement concentration [33], hyperentanglement concentration[37–40], and so on.

In the practical quantum information processing, the logic qubit, which encodes many physical qubits in a logic qubit[66–69], has been widely discussed. In 2011, Fröwis et al.

firstly put forward the concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (C-GHZ) state, which is a promising logic-qubit entanglement for quantum information processing [70]. The form of the C-GHZ state can be described as $|\Psi\rangle_{N,m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N} + |GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N})$, where $|GHZ_m^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes m} \pm |1\rangle^{\otimes m})$. The N is the number of logical qubits, and the m is the number of physical qubit encoded in each logical qubit. In 2014, Lu *et al.* described the first experiment for realizing such C-GHZ state with linear optics [71]. Both the theory and experiment showed that the C-GHZ is useful in future quantum communication. Recently, the approaches of discrimination of the C-GHZ state were proposed[72–74].

As the C-GHZ state has its potential application in quantum information processing, we will describe an efficient ECP for the less-entangled C-GHZ state with the help of linear optics. After performing this ECP, we can ultimately obtain the maximally C-GHZ state with some success probability. Moreover, this protocol exploits the polarization beam splitters (PBSs), and have-wave plates (HWPs) to complete the task. It is feasible in current technology. This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we first describe this protocol with a simple case with $m = N = 2$. In Sec. III, we explain the ECP for a more complicated case that $m = 3$ and $N = 2$. We show that if each logic qubit is the multiphoton GHZ state, this protocol can also work. In Sec. IV, we extend this ECP for arbitrary C-GHZ. Finally, In Sec. V, we will present a discussion and conclusion.

II. ECP FOR C-GHZ STATE WITH M=N=2

The less-entangled C-GHZ state can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle_{N,m} = \alpha|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N} + \beta|GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N}, \tag{1}$$

here $|GHZ_m^{\pm}\rangle^{\otimes N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle^{\otimes m} \pm |V\rangle^{\otimes m})$, and $|\alpha|^2+|\beta|^2 = 1$. $|H\rangle$ is the horizontal polarization and $|V\rangle$ is the vertical polarization of the photon, respectively. Our aim is to concentrate the less-entangled C-GHZ state to the maximally C-GHZ state as

$$|\Psi\rangle'_{N,m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N} + |GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N}). \tag{2}$$

In this section, we will discuss our ECP in the first group with a simple case that concentrate the less-entangled C-GHZ state with $m = N = 2$. If $m = 2$, it shows that each logic qubit is a Bell state. Such C-GHZ state can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle_s = \alpha|GHZ_2^+\rangle^{\otimes 2} + \beta|GHZ_2^-\rangle^{\otimes 2}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle + |V\rangle|V\rangle)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle + |V\rangle|V\rangle)] \\
&\quad +\beta[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle - |V\rangle|V\rangle)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle - |V\rangle|V\rangle)] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|H\rangle|H\rangle + |V\rangle|V\rangle)(|H\rangle|H\rangle + |V\rangle|V\rangle) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\beta(|H\rangle|H\rangle - |V\rangle|V\rangle)(|H\rangle|H\rangle - |V\rangle|V\rangle). \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 1: Schematic diagram of the entanglement concentration. $|\Psi\rangle_{s1}$ and $|\Psi\rangle_{s2}$ are the two copies of less-entangled C-GHZ state. S_1 and S_2 are the entanglement sources. The HWP represents the Hadamard operation which makes the $|H\rangle \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle + |V\rangle)$ and $|V\rangle \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle - |V\rangle)$. The PBSs are polarized beam splitters. D_1 and D_2 represent the photon number detectors. $|\pm\rangle$ denote the measurement of output photos in the basis $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle + |V\rangle)$.

Fig. 1 is the schematic drawing of our entanglement concentration. We first choose two copies of less-entangled C-GHZ states $|\Psi\rangle_{s1}$ and $|\Psi\rangle_{s2}$ which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle_{s_1} &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1} - |V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1} - |V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}), \qquad (4) \\
|\Psi\rangle_{s_2} &= \frac{1}{2}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2} + |V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2} - |V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2} - |V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}). \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is shown that the photons a_1, c_1, a_2 and c_2 are sent to Alice and the photons b_1, d_1, b_2 and d_2 are sent to Bob, respectively. Before concentration, we should convert the state $|\Psi\rangle_{s2}$

into $|\Psi\rangle'_{s2}$ with the help of Hadamard operation and single qubit rotation. The former of $|\Psi\rangle'_{s2}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi\rangle'_{s_2} &= \frac{1}{2}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}-|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}-|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Firstly, the parties Alice and Bob let the photons $|\Psi\rangle_{s1}$ and $|\Psi\rangle'_{s2}$ pass through the half-wave plates (HWP), which will make $|H\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle)$, and $|V\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle)$. After the HWP operations, the state $|\Psi\rangle_{s1}\otimes|\Psi\rangle'_{s2}$ evolves to

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi\rangle_{s_3} &= \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}) \\ &\quad (|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\beta^2(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}) \\ &\quad (|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\alpha\beta[(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}) \\ &\quad (|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}) \\ &+ (|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}) \\ &\quad (|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2})]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Subsequently, as shown in Fig. 1, the parties Alice and Bob let the photons a_1 and a_2, c_1 and c_2, b_1 and b_2 and d_1 and d_2 pass through the four PBSs which are optical devices to transmit horizontally polarized light and reflecting light of vertical polarization. If all the output modes of the PBSs only contain one photon, the state in Eq. (7) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}) \\ &\quad (|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}) \\ &+ (|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}) \\ &\quad (|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

And then, the photons detected by the four photon detectors $D_1D_2D_3D_4$ in the base $|\pm\rangle$. Only if each photon detector detects a single photon, it means that the ECP is successful. If the polarization measurement is $|++\rangle_{a_2c_2}$ or $|--\rangle_{a_2c_2}$, and $|++\rangle_{b_2d_2}$ or $|--\rangle_{b_2d_2}$, they

will obtain the state

$$|\Psi\rangle_{s_4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1})]. \quad (9)$$

If the polarization measurement results are $|+-\rangle_{a_2c_2}$ or $|+-\rangle_{b_2d_2}$, and $|-+\rangle_{a_2c_2}$ or $|-+\rangle_{b_2d_2}$, they will obtain the state

$$|\Psi\rangle'_{s_4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}-|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}-|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}-|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}-|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1})]. \quad (10)$$

By performing the phase-file operation they can also finally obtain the state in Eq. (9). In order to get the maximally entangled C-GHZ state, they have to perform Hadamard operations on each photons to convert Eq. (9) to the maximally C-GHZ state as

$$|\Psi\rangle_{s_5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_1}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}-|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}-|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1})]. \quad (11)$$

We get the maximally C-GHZ state with the total success probability of $\frac{1}{2}|\alpha\beta|^2$.

III. THE ECP FOR C-GHZ STATE WITH $m = 3$, $N = 2$

In this section, we discuss the ECP for the C-GHZ state with $m = 3$ and $N = 2$. The C-GHZ state with $m = 3$ and $N = 2$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}|\Gamma\rangle_{s_1} &= \alpha[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})] \\ &+ \beta[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}-|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}-|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}-|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}-|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}). \quad (12)\end{aligned}$$

The copy of $|\Gamma\rangle_{s_1}$ is In order to perform the concentration, they also select two copies of the less-entangled state $|\Gamma\rangle_{s_1}$ and $|T\rangle'_{s_1}$ as shown in Eq. (12). Similar to the ECP we discussed in the previous section, we firstly convert the initial state $|T\rangle'_{s_1}$ into

$$\begin{aligned}|T\rangle_{s_2} &= \frac{1}{2}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}-|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}-|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_2}) \quad (13)\end{aligned}$$



FIG. 2: Schematic diagram of the entanglement concentration about the C-GHZ state with $m = 3$, $N = 2$.

As shown ing Fig. 2, the photons in spatial modes $a_1c_1t_1$ and $a_2c_2t_2$ are distributed to Alice and the photons in spatial modes $b_1d_1h_1$ and $b_2d_2h_2$ are send to Bob. Then, all the photons should pass through the HWPs to perform the Hadamard operation. After the HWP operations, the states evolve to

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma\rangle_{s_1} \rightarrow\ & \frac{1}{4}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1} + |H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}) \\
& (|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1} + |H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}) \\
+\ & \frac{1}{4}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1} + |H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}) \\
& (|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1} + |H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}), \quad (14) \\
|T\rangle_{s_2} \rightarrow\ & \frac{1}{4}\beta(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2} + |H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}) \\
& (|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2} + |V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2} + |H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2} + |V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}) \\
+\ & \frac{1}{4}\alpha(|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2} + |H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}) \\
& (|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2} + |V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2} + |H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2} + |V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}). \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

The state of all the system can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
|T\rangle_{s_3} =\ & \frac{1}{16}\alpha^2[(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1} + |H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1} + |V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}) \\
& (|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1} + |H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1} + |V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}) \\
& (|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2} + |H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2} + |V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2})]\\
+\ &\frac{1}{16}\beta^2(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})\\
&(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2})\\
+\ &\frac{1}{16}\alpha\beta[(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})\\
&(|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2})\\
+\ &(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})\\
&(|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}).] \quad (16)
\end{aligned}
$$

Subsequently, as shown in Fig. 2, the parties Alice and Bob let the photons a_1 and a_2, c_1 and c_2, t_1 and t_2, b_1 and b_2, d_1 and d_2, h_1 and h_2 pass through the six PBSs. They choose the case that all the output modes of the PBSs exactly contain one photon. The state in Eq. (16) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rightarrow\ &\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}\\
&+|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}|V\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}\\
&+|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2})\\
&+(|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}|H\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|H\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}\\
&+|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}|H\rangle_{a_2}|H\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}|V\rangle_{a_2}|V\rangle_{c_2}|V\rangle_{t_2})\\
&(|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}|H\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}|V\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|H\rangle_{h_2}\\
&+|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}|H\rangle_{b_2}|H\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_2}|V\rangle_{h_2}). \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the photons in the spatial modes $a_2b_2c_2d_2t_2h_2$ are detected by the six photon detectors $D_1D_2D_3D_4D_5D_6$ in the base $|\pm\rangle$. If the measurement results of both Alice and Bob

are the same, i.e., $|+\rangle|+\rangle|+\rangle$ or $|-\rangle|-\rangle|-\rangle$, they can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|T\rangle_{s_4} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\frac{1}{2}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1})\\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1})\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1}+|H\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1}+|H\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_2}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})].\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

On the other hand, there are other measurement results such as $|+\rangle|+\rangle|-\rangle$, $|+\rangle|-\rangle|-\rangle$, and so on. The remained state can also be transformed into the former of Eq. (18) with the help of phase-file operation and bit-flip operation. Finally, they can perform the Hadamard operations in each photon to convert Eq. (18) to the maximally entangled C-GHZ state as

$$\begin{aligned}|T\rangle_{s_5} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}+|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}+|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})\\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_{a_1}|H\rangle_{c_1}|H\rangle_{t_1}-|V\rangle_{a_1}|V\rangle_{c_1}|V\rangle_{t_1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}(|H\rangle_{b_1}|H\rangle_{d_1}|H\rangle_{h_1}-|V\rangle_{b_1}|V\rangle_{d_1}|V\rangle_{h_1})].\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In this way, they can obtain the maximally C-GHZ state with the total success probability of $\frac{1}{8}|\alpha\beta|^2$.

IV. THE ECP FOR ARBITRARY C-GHZ STATE

Finally, let us briefly describe our ECP for the arbitrary C-GHZ state. The less-entangled C-GHZ state are shared by N parties (Alice, Bob, Charlie, ect). Each one owns one logic qubit. As shown in Fig. 3, two pairs of less-entangled C-GHZ states are sent to the N parties, which can be written as

$$|\Psi_1\rangle_{N,m} = \alpha|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N} + \beta|GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N}, \tag{20}$$

$$|\Psi_2\rangle'_{N,m} = \alpha|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N} + \beta|GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N}. \tag{21}$$

Before concentration, by using the Hadamard operation and single qubit rotation, which is the same as the previous description, the state $|\Psi_2\rangle'_{N,m}$ can be transformed into

$$|\Psi_2\rangle_{N,m} = \beta|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N} + \alpha|GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N}. \tag{22}$$

Firstly, all the parties pass through the HWPs. The states will evolve to

$$|\Psi_1\rangle_{N,m} \rightarrow \alpha[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}+(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}$$



FIG. 3: (a) The schematic diagram of ECP for arbitrary C-GHZ state. Red dash and green solid denote two pairs less-entangled C-GHZ state, respectively, which contains N logic qubits. Two logical qubit in one place make the measurement. (b) The schematic diagram of two logical qubit making the PM measurement. (c) The schematic diagram of PM measurement.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\beta[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}-(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}, && (23)\\
|\Psi_2\rangle_{N,m} \rightarrow\ & \beta[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}+(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\\
& +\alpha[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}-(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}. && (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

The whole state can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Psi_s\rangle_{N,m} \ =\ & \alpha^2[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}+(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\\
& [(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}-(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\\
+\ & \beta^2[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}-(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\\
& [(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}+(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\\
+\ & \alpha\beta\{[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}+(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\\
& [(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}+(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}-(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}$$
$$[(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle+|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}-(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle-|V\rangle))^{\otimes m}]^{\otimes N}\}. \quad (25)$$

In Fig. 3, figure (a) means that the two pairs of C-GHZ states are shared by each parity, and each parity will perform the measurement on his or her two logic qubits. Figure (b) means that each party owns two logic qubits, which are encoded in physical polarized GHZ states, respectively. The PM measurement performed on the GHZ states in each party is analogy with Fig. 2. Each party should perform PM measurements for m times. Figure (c) is the schematic of the PM measurement. if all the output modes of PBS in each PM measurement contain only one photon, the ECP for the arbitrary C-GHZ is successful. Finally, they measure all the photons in the lower output modes of each PBS, if the measurement result is $|\pm\rangle^{\otimes mN}$, they will obtain the maximally entangled state

$$|\Psi_{so}\rangle_{N,m}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|GHZ_m^+\rangle^{\otimes N}+|GHZ_m^-\rangle^{\otimes N}). \quad (26)$$

The total success probability is

$$P_{mN}=\frac{|\alpha\beta|^2}{2^{(m-1)N-1}}. \quad (27)$$

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

So far, we have completely described our ECP. We first described the ECP with a simple case that $m=N=2$. Subsequently, we explain this protocol for concentrating the C-GHZ state with $m=3$, $N=2$, and arbitrary m, N, respectively. In Fig. 4, we calculated the success probability P_{mN} altered with m and N, respectively. We show that the larger of m and N, the smaller of P_{mN}. In the previous works of entanglement concentration, they exploited the controlled-not (CNOT) gate and nonlinear cross-Kerr nonlinearity to complete the task [64, 65]. If consider the practical experiment condition, both the CNOT gate and cross-Kerr nonlinearity are hard to realize. In this ECP, we only require the linear optics, such as PBSs, HWPs to complete the task. Moreover, this ECP does not know the exact information of the initial state. These advantages make this protocol feasible in current QIP tasks.



FIG. 4: The success probability of the ECP for the arbitrary C-GHZ state with the different values of m and N.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11474168 and 61401222, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu province under Grant No. BK20151502, the Qing Lan Project in Jiangsu Province, and a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

[1] Mermin, N.D.: Extreme quantum entanglement in a superposition of macroscopically distinct states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1838 (1990)

[2] Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., Horodecki, K.: Quantum entanglement. Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009)

[3] Ekert A.K.: Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem. Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991)

[4] Gisin, N., Ribordy, G., Tittel, W., Zbinden, H.: Quantum cryptography. Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 145 (2002)

[5] Bennett, C.H., Brassard, G., Crépeau, C., Jozsa, R., Peres, A., Wootters, W.K.: Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993)

[6] Long G.L, Liu X.S.: Theoretically efficient high-capacity quantum-key-distribution scheme. Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032302 (2002)

[7] Deng F.G, Long G.L, Liu X.S.: Two-step quantum direct communication protocol using the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen pair block. Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042317 (2003)

[8] Zheng, C., Long, G.F.: Quantum secure direct dialogue using Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen pairs. Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. & Astron. **57**, 1238-1243 (2014)

[9] Su, X.L., Jia, X.J., Xie, C.D., Peng, K.C.: Preparation of multipartite entangled states used for quantum information networks. Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. & Astron. **57**, 1210-1217 (2014)

[10] Zou, X.F., Qiu, D.W.: Three-step semiquantum secure direct communication protocol. Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. & Astron. **57**, 1696-1702 (2014)

[11] Duan, L.M., Lukin, M.D., Cirac, J.I., Zoller, P.: Long-distance quantum communication with atomic ensembles and linear optics. Nature (London), **4144**, 413-418 (2001)

[12] Bennett, C.H., Brassard G., Wootters, W.K.: Purification of noisy entanglement and faithful teleportation via noisy channels. Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 722 (1996)

[13] Murao, M., Plenio, M.B., Popescu, S., Vedral, V., & Knight, P.L.: Multiparticle entanglement purification protocols. Phys. Rev. A **57**, R4075 (1998)

[14] Pan, J.W., Simon, C., Brukner, C., Zeilinger, A.: Entanglement purification for quantum communication. Nature (London), **410**, 1067 (2001)

[15] Simon, C., Pan, J.W.: Polarization entanglement purification using spatial entanglement. Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 257901 (2002)

[16] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L., Long, G.L.: Hybrid entanglement purification for quantum repeaters. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 022302 (2013)

[17] Cao, C., Wang, C., He, L.Y., Zhang, R.: Atomic entanglement purification and concentration using coherent state input-output process in low-Q cavity QED regime. Opt. Exp. **21**, 4093-4105 (2013)

[18] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L.: Deterministic polarization entanglement purification using timebin entanglement. Laser Phys. Lett. **11**, 085203 (2014)

[19] Ren, B.C., Du, F.F., Deng, F.G.: Two-step hyperentanglement purification with the quantum-

state-joining method. Phys. Rev. A **90**, 052309 (2014)

[20] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L.: Deterministic entanglement distillation for secure double-server blind quantum computation. Sci. Rep. **5**, 7815 (2015)

[21] Bennett, C.H., Bernstein, H.J., Schumacher, B.: Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations. Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2046 (1996)

[22] Bose, S., Vedral, V., Knight, P.L.: Purification via entanglement swapping and conserved entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **60**, 194 (1999)

[23] Zhao, Z., Pan, J.W., Zhan, M.S.: Practical scheme for entanglement concentration. Phys. Rev. A **64**, 014301 (2001)

[24] Yamamoto, T., Koashi, M., Imoto, N.: Concentration and purification scheme for two partially entangled photon pairs. Phys. Rev. A **64**, 012304 (2001)

[25] Sheng, Y.B., Deng, F.G., Zhou, H.Y.: Nonlocal entanglement concentration scheme for partially entangled multipartite systems with nonlinear optics. Phys. Rev. A **77**, 062325 (2008)

[26] Shi, B.S., Jiang, Y.K., Guo, G.C.: Optimal entanglement purification via entanglement swapping. Phys. Rev. A **62**, 054301 (2000)

[27] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L., Zhao, S.M., Zheng, B.Y.: Efficient single-photon-assisted entanglement concentration for partially entangled photon pairs. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 012307 (2012)

[28] Deng, F.G.: Optimal nonlocal multipartite entanglement concentration based on projection measurements. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 022311 (2012)

[29] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L., Zhao, S.M.: Efficient two-step entanglement concentration for arbitrary W states. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 042302 (2012)

[30] Du, F.F., Li, T., Ren, B.C., Wei, H.R., Deng, F.G.: Single-photon-assisted entanglement concentration of a multiphoton system in a partially entangled W state with weak cross-Kerr nonlinearity. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **29**, 1399-1405 (2012)

[31] Sheng, Y.B., Pan, J., Guo, R., Zhou, L., Wang, L.: Efficient N-particle W state concentration with different parity check gates. Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. & Astron. **58**, 060301 (2015)

[32] Xu, T.T., Xiong, W., Ye, L.: Concentrating arbitrary four-photon less-entangled cluster state by single photons. Mod. Phys. Lett. B **26**, 1250214 (2012)

[33] Li, T., Deng, F.G.: Linear-optics-based entanglement concentration of four-photon-type states for quantum communication network. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **53**, 3026-3034 (2014)

[34] Peng, Z.H., Zou, J., Liu, X.J., Xiao, Y.J., Kuang, L.M.: Atomic and photonic entanglement

concentration via photonic Faraday rotation. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 034305 (2012)

[35] Wang, C.: Efficient entanglement concentration for partially entangled electrons using a quantum-dot and microcavity coupled system. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 012323 (2012)

[36] Zhou, L., Sheng, Y.B., Cheng, W.W., Gong, L.Y., Zhao, S.M.: Efficient entanglement concentration for arbitrary less-entangled NOON states. Quantum Inf. Process. **12**, 1307-1320 (2013)

[37] Ren, B.C., Du, F.F., Deng, F.G.: Hyperentanglement concentration for two-photon four-qubit systems with linear optics. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 012302 (2013)

[38] Ren, B.C., Deng, F.G.: Deterministic photonic spatial-polarization hyper-controlled-not gate assisted by a quantum dot inside a one-side optical microcavity. Laser Phys. Lett. **10**, 095202 (2013)

[39] Li, X.H., Ghose, S.: Hyperconcentration for multipartite entanglement via linear optics. Laser Phys. Lett. **11**, 125201 (2014)

[40] Li, X.H., Ghose, S.: Hyperentanglement concentration for time-bin and polarization hyperentangled photons. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 062302 (2015)

[41] Choudhury, B., Dhara, A.: An entanglement concentration protocol for cluster states. Quantum Inf. Process. **12**, 2577-2585 (2013)

[42] Choudhury, B.S., Dhara, A.: A three-qubit state entanglement concentration protocol assisted by twoqubit systems. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 3965-3969 (2013)

[43] Si, B., Su, S.L., Sun, L.L., Cheng, L.Y., Wang, H.F., Zhang, T.: Efficient three-step entanglement concentration for an arbitrary four-photon cluster state. Chin. Phys. B **22**, 030305 (2013)

[44] Sheng, Y.B., Liu, J., Zhao, S.Y., Zhou, L.: Multipartite entanglement concentration for nitrogen-vacancy center and microtoroidal resonator system. Chin. Sci. Bull. **59**, 3507-3513 (2013)

[45] Zhou, L., Sheng, Y.B., Zhao, S.M.: Optimal entanglement concentration for three-photon W states with parity check measurement. Chin. Phys. B **22**, 020307 (2013)

[46] Zhou, L., Sheng, Y.B., Cheng, W.W., Gong, L.Y., Zhao, S.M.: Efficient entanglement concentration for arbitrary single-photon multimode W state. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **30**, 71-78 (2013)

[47] Zhou, L.: Efficient entanglement concnetration for electron-spin W state with the charge detection. Quantum Inf. Process. **12**, 2087-2101 (2013)

[48] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L.: Quantum entanglement concentration based on nonlinear optics for quantum communications. Entropy, **15**, 1776 (2013)

[49] Peng, Z.H., Zou, J., Liu, X.J., Kuang, L.M.: Optimal entanglement concentration via photonic Faraday rotation in cavity QED. Opt. Commun. **313**, 365-368 (2014)

[50] Sheng, Y.B., Liu, J., Zhao, S.Y., Wang, L., Zhou, L.: Entanglement concentration for W-type entangled coherent states. Chin. Phys. B **23**, 080305 (2014)

[51] Ji, Y.Q., Jin, Z., Zhu, A.D., Wang, H.F., Zhang, S.: Concentration of multi-photon entanglement with linear optics assisted by quantum nondemolition detection. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **31**, 994-999 (2014)

[52] Wang, C., Cao, C., He, L.Y., Zhang, C.L.: Hybrid entanglement concentration using quantum dot and microcavity coupled system. Quantum Inf. Process. **13**, 1025-1034 (2014)

[53] Zhao, J., Zheng, C.H., Shi, P., Ren, C.N., Gu, Y.J.: Generation and entanglement concentration for electron-spin entangled cluster states using charged quantum dots in optical microcavities. Opt. Commun. **322**, 32-39 (2014)

[54] Zhou, L.: Consequent entanglement concentration of a less-entangled electronic cluster state with controlled-not gates. Chin. Phys. B **23**, 050308 (2014)

[55] Fan, L.L., Xia, Y., Song, J.: Efficient entanglement concentration for arbitrary lesshyperentanglement multi-photon W states with linear optics. Quantum Inf. Process. **13**, 1967-1978 (2014)

[56] Ren, B.C., Longm, G.L.: General hyperentanglement concentration for photon systems assisted by quantum-dot spins inside optical microcavities. Opt. Express, **22**, 6547-6561 (2014)

[57] Si, B., Wen, J.J., Cheng, L.Y., Wang, H.F., Zhang, S., Yeon, K.H.: Efficient entanglement concentration schemes for separated nitrogen-vacancy centers coupled to low-Q microresonators. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **53**, 80-90 (2014)

[58] Zhang, R., Zhou, S.H., Cao, C.: Efficient nonlocal two-step entanglement concentration protocol for three-level atoms in an arbitrary less-entangled W state using cavity input-output process. Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. Astron. **57**, 1511-1518 (2014)

[59] Shukla, C., Banerjee, A.: Protocols and quantum circuits for implementing entanglement concentration in cat state, GHZ-like state and nine families of 4-qubit entangled states. Quantum Inf. Process. **14**, 2077-2099 (2015)

[60] Wang, G.Y., Li, T., Deng, F.G.: High-efficiency atomic entanglement concentration for quan-

tum communication network assisted by cavity QED. Quantum Inf. Process. **14**, 1305-1320 (2015)

[61] Du, F.F., Deng, F.G.: Heralded entanglement concentration for photon systems with linear-optical elements. Sci. Chin. Phys. Mecha. & Astro. **58**, 040303 (2015)

[62] Cao, C., Ding, H., Li, Y., Wang, T.J., Mi, S.C., Zhang, R., Wang, C.: Efficient multipartite entanglement concentration protocol for nitrogen-vacancy center and microresonator coupled systems. Quantum Inf. Process. **14**, 1265-1277 (2015)

[63] Cao, C., Wang, T.J., Zhang, R., Wang, C.: Cluster state entanglement generation and concentration on nitrogen-vacancy centers in decoherence-free subspace. Laser Phys. Lett. **12**, 036001 (2015)

[64] Qu, C.C., Zhou, L., Sheng Y.B.: Entanglement concentration for concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state. Quantum Inf. Process. **14**, 4131-4146 (2015)

[65] Pan, J., Zhou, L., Gu, S. P., Wang, X. F., Sheng, Y. B., Wang, Q.:Efficient entanglement concentration for concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state with the cross-Kerr nonlinearity. Quant. Inf. Process. DOI 10.1007/s11128-016-1246-7 (2016)

[66] Lu, H., Chen, L.K., Liu, C., Xu, P., Yao, X.C., Li, L., Liu, N.L., Zhao, B., Chen, Y.A., Pan, J.W.: Experimental realization of a concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state for macroscopic quantum superpositions. Nat. Photonics **8**, 364 (2014)

[67] Fröwis, F., Dür, W.: Stability of encoded macroscopic quantum superpositions. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 052329 (2012)

[68] Kesting, F., Fröewis, F., Düer, W.: Effective noise channels for encoded quantum systems. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 042305 (2013)

[69] Ding, D., Yan, F.L., Gao, T.: Preparation of km-photon concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger states for observing distinctive quantum effects at macroscopic scales. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **30**, 3075-3078 (2013)

[70] Fröwis, F., Dür, W.: Stable macroscopic quantum superpositions. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 110402 (2011)

[71] Lu, H., Chen, L.K., Liu, C., Xu, P., Yao, X.C., Li, L., Liu, N.L., Zhao, B., Chen, Y.A., Pan, J.W.: Experimental realization of a concatenated Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state for macroscopic quantum superpositions. Nat. Photonics **8**, 364-368 (2014)

[72] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L.: Entanglement analysis for macroscopic Schrodingers Cat state. EPL

109, 40009 (2015)

[73] Sheng, Y.B., Zhou, L.: Two-step complete polarization logic Bell-state analysis. Sci. Rep. **5**, 13453 (2015)

[74] Zhou L., Sheng Y.B.: Complete logic Bell-state analysis assisted with photonic Faraday rotation. Phys. Rev. A **92**, 042314 (2015)